

FPIN Peer Review

FPIN's peer review process aims to achieve many goals:

1. Check the quality of the paper being submitted
2. Improve performance by providing meaningful feedback to the author team
3. Provide credibility to the publication series
4. Ensure no breaches of plagiarism have occurred

There are many benefits to peer reviewing a manuscript. Among them are:

- Peer review is reportable as faculty scholarly activity on annual ACGME reports
- Peer review may fulfil local university scholarly activity requirements
- Peer review can be included on curriculum vitae
- Peer review provides further experience with the medical literature that can improve skill in critical appraisal and medical writing

The peer reviewer should...

- Answer the peer review questions (see below) and provide explanations for any "No" responses.
- Suggest how to make the manuscript clearer, concise and relevant.
- Identify possible areas of confusion for the reader and make specific suggestions.
- Verify that at least one reference is accurately interpreted.
- Be generous with your words to enhance your own clarity.
- Sprinkle PRAISE along with recommendations for change.

Peer reviews will be completed via the EMS. Peer reviewers will make **ALL** comments via the peer review form in the EMS. For instructions on how to become an FPIN peer reviewer and complete a peer review, please see below. For additional help registering, please contact the FPIN office at 573-256-2066.

How to Become an FPIN Peer Reviewer

1. Log on to www.editorialmanager.com/fpin
2. Click the "Register Now" link
3. Enter your first name, last name, and preferred email address
4. Enter your personal information, institution-related information, areas of interest or expertise, and a preferred username
5. Verify your information
6. Check your email inbox for a message to verify your registration. This email will contain your password, which you will need to log into the EMS.
7. FPIN staff will invite you to peer review manuscripts via email. When you receive an invitation, simply log into the EMS and complete the question form (see below).
8. Submit your peer review to FPIN

Sample questions:

1. Is the manuscript clear and well written?
2. Does the Evidence Summary directly support the recommendation given in the Evidence-Based Answer?
3. Is this article based on an up-to-date, complete literature search?
4. Do you feel this article reflects the best evidence available on patient-oriented outcomes?
5. Is this article fair and balanced?
6. Is this an article you would read (i.e. is this relevant to your practice)?
7. Do you recommend publication of this manuscript? If no, why not?